A VERY SLOW ELOB ELIMINATION UNDER EXTREME ELOB CONDITIONS

Shmaryahu Hoz + Michael Albeck + and Zvi Rappoport*

[†]Department of Chemistry, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel and ^{*}Department of Organic Chemistry, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel

(Received in UK 5 July 1972; accepted for publication 17 July 1972)

Most work dealing with EloB eliminations (equation 1, B = base, X and/or Y = electron attracting groups, Z = leaving group)¹ was under conditions where $k_2 > k_{-1} [BH^+]$ ("irreversible case") or $k_2 < k_{-1} [BH^+]$ ("pre-equilibrium case") where the carbanions 2 are formed in steady-state concentrations.^{1b} Another variant, designated "EloB of the second type"² or "irreversible first order carbanionic elimination",³ where 2 is formed in an appreciable concentration and k_2 is rate determining was recently recognized.²⁻⁷ The predictions that

$$B + R^{1}R^{2}CZ-CHXY \xrightarrow{k_{1}} BH^{+} + R^{1}R^{2}CZ-CXY \xrightarrow{k_{2}} R^{1}R^{2}C=CXY + Z^{-} (1)$$

1

2

this variant will show certain kinetic behaviour depending on the [1] / [B] ratio, and that negligible deuterium isotope effects and negative Hammett's β values for $R^2=Ar$ should be observed were fulfilled in the amine-catalyzed elimination of cyanide ion from compounds 3 and 4 in chloroform and acetonitrile (equation 2).⁴,⁵

$$\operatorname{ArcR}^{1}(\operatorname{CN})-\operatorname{CH}(\operatorname{CN})_{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}_{3}^{N}} \operatorname{ArcR}^{1}(\operatorname{CN})-\overline{\operatorname{c}}(\operatorname{CN})_{2} \xrightarrow{-\operatorname{CN}} \operatorname{ArcR}^{1}=\operatorname{c}(\operatorname{CN})_{2} \qquad (2)$$

$$\operatorname{3:} \mathbb{R}^{1}=\operatorname{CN} ; \operatorname{Ar=3,5-Me}_{2}-4-\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{NC}_{6}^{H}_{2}$$

$$\operatorname{4:} \mathbb{R}^{1}=\operatorname{Me} ; (a)\operatorname{Ar=p-Meoc}_{6}^{H}_{4} \cdot (b)\operatorname{Ar=Ph}$$

When k_1 is rate determining, increase in the electron-attracting ability of X and Y would <u>increase</u> the elimination rate. Following Breslow^{8,9} we predict that such structural change for the 2nd-type ElcB would <u>lower</u> the elimination rate,¹⁰ since delocalization of the negative charge by X and Y reduces the charge and the nucleophilicity of the *«*-carbon and hence lowers its tendency to "push" out the leaving group. However, a different view that "the effect of the activating group on the magnitude of k_2 is slight" was suggested^{llc} following a study of a pre-equilibrium ElcB in compounds $\lim_{n \to \infty} (1, R^1 = R^2 = Y = H, Z = OPh)$.^{ll} We studied therefore an extreme situation where X and Y are nitro groups.

Addition of KCN to the dinitroethylenes 5 and 6 gave immediately the spectra of the carbanions 7 (λ_{max} (MeCN): 372 nm (ε 8,700)) and 8 (λ_{max} (MeCN): 373 nm (ε 8,300)). β , β -Dinitro- α , α -diphenylpropionitrile 9 and its bis(<u>p</u>-methoxyphenyl) analog 10 were isolated by addition of AcOH (equation 3). On addition of one or five molar equivalents of

$$\mathbf{Ar}_{2} \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{NO}_{2})_{2} \xrightarrow{+\mathbf{CN}} \mathbf{Ar}_{2} \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{CN}) - \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{NO}_{2})_{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{AOOH}} \mathbf{Ar}_{2} \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{CN}) - \mathbf{CH} (\mathbf{NO}_{2})_{2} \qquad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{J} : \mathbf{Ar} = \mathbf{Ph} \qquad \mathbf{J} : \mathbf{Ar} = \mathbf{Ph} \qquad \mathbf{J} : \mathbf{Ar} = \mathbf{Ph}$$

$$\mathbf{G} : \mathbf{Ar} = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{MeOC}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \qquad \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{Ar} = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{MeOC}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \qquad \mathbf{IO} : \mathbf{Ar} = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{MeOC}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

Et₃N to 9 and 10 in acetonitrile the spectra of 7 and 8 appeared immediately. However, no further spectral change corresponding to the formation of 5 and 6 was observed during 17 hrs at 40°, and 9 and 10 were recovered unchanged on acidification. No elimination was observed when 9 was in excess over the Et₃N, although a possible re-addition of the CN⁻ to the formed 5 should be inhibited by protonation of the CN⁻ by the unreacted acid 9. 4b

In order to exclude more rigorously a hidden elimination as part of a rapid eliminationaddition sequence of the oyanide ion (e.g., $7 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7$), the reactions of (a)0.048 mole/1 of ¹⁴C-oyanide labelled 9, 0.053 mole/1 Et₃N and 0.143 mole/1 KCN for 20 hrs at 40° in MeCN and (b)0.027 mole/1 of 10, 0.02 mole/1 Et₃N and 0.01 mole/1 of K¹⁴CN for 5 hrs at 40° in MeCN were investigated. In reaction (a) where the experimental error is rather high ([±]7%) the recovered 9 showed a loss of 7% of its radioactivity, while in reaction (b) the incorporation of the inorganic - ¹⁴CN in the recovered 10 was at most 0.32%. Since 10 would react faster than 9 in a 2nd-type EloB as predicted and found ($k_2(4a) > k_2(4b)$)⁵ the latter value (which was obtained by a more accurate technique) is the upper limit for the exchange for both 7 and 8. If it is assumed that the exchange and the C-CN cleavage rates are identical, then k_2 for 8 is 6.10^{-7} sec⁻¹ at 40°.

The very slow elimination from $\frac{8}{500}$ demonstrates that k_2 is indeed dependent on the activating group. Expulsion of the oyanide ion from $\frac{8}{5000}$ with two activating nitro groups is at least ¹² 500-times slower than from $\frac{4}{50000}$ ($k_2^{40^{\circ}}$ = 3.4 \cdot 10⁻⁴ sec⁻¹ in MeCN) where X,Y=CN. We found no other data suitable for comparison, since although k_2 changes by 21 orders of

magnitude in ElcB eliminations^{4-7b,13,14} this is mainly due to a change in the leaving group.

Our results fit the explanation given for the better correlation of ElcB rates from compounds la with the rates of ionization rather than with the equilibrium constants for compounds MeX. ^{11b,15} It also suggests that in nucleophilic vinylic substitution of the addition-elimination type¹⁶ (equation 4) some of the highly activated systems may be less

 $R^{1}CZ=CXY + Nu^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} R^{1}C(Nu)(Z)-CXY \xrightarrow{k_{2}} R^{1}C(Nu)=CXY$ (4)

reactive than expected if the second step (which is analogous to k_2) becomes rate determining.

Protonation of the $CH(CN)_2$ carbanion is much faster than that of $CH(NO_2)_2$ ¹⁸ and hence the two carbanion-consuming processes k_{-1} and k_2 respond similarly to a change in X and Y. However, this should not be generalized since the "deviant Bronsted relations"¹⁹ when X= NO_2 were interpreted as due to increase in k_{-2} when Y and R¹ become more electronattracting, ^{19a},^b and it was suggested that similar behaviour may be observed with other carbon acids.^{19a,c} If k_{-1} and k_2 are governed by the same factors²⁰ the higher k_2 for 3 compared with is and is ^{4b,5} may be the first example for such behaviour for ayano carbon acids.²¹ Such analogies have interesting implications regarding both Breslow's suggestion that EleB elimination from a carbanion may be slower than that from a partially formed carbanion, and Bordwell's suggestion³ that it is energetically more economic to form olefin from an activated system in a two-step (EloB) rather than in a concerted (E2) process.

REFERENCES

 (a)C.K. Ingold, "Structure and Mechanism in Organic Chemistry", Cornell University Press, New York, pp. 653, 955. (b)D.J. McLennan, <u>Quart.Rev.Chem.Soc.</u>, <u>21</u>, 490 (1967).

2. Z. Rappoport, Tetrahedron Letters , 3601 (1968).

3. F.C. Bordwell, M.M.Vestling and K.C. Yee, J.Amer.Chom.Soc., 92, 5950 (1970).

4. Z. Rappoport and E. Shohamy, (a) Isr.J. Chem., 6, 15p(1968); (b) J. Chem. Soc. (B), 2060 (1971).

5. M. Albeck , S. Hoz and Z. Rappoport, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin II , in press.

- 6. F.G. Bordwell , K.C. Yee and A.C. Knipe, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 92 , 5945 (1970).
- 7. (a)A. Berndt, <u>Angew.Chem.Inter.Ed.</u>, <u>8</u>, 613 (1969); (b)L.R. Fedor and W.R. Glave, <u>J.Amer.Chem.Soc.</u>, <u>93</u>, 985 (1971); (c)R.F. Pratt and T.C. Bruice, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>92</u>,5956(1970).

- 8. R. Breslow, Tetrahedron Letters, 399 (1964).
- 9. However, in our system the ground state of 2 may be lower than that of 1.
 10. This fits the suggested analogy between the El and the 2nd-type ElcB routes.^{4b}
 11. J. Crosby and C.J. M. Stirling , (a) J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90 , 6869 (1968); (b) J. Chem. Soc. (B),

671 (1970); (c)ibid., 679 (1970).

- 12. Both electronic and steric factors which were shown to be important for system 4 ⁵ should M make 8 more reactive than the conjugate base of 4a, while k_2 for 8 represents an upper limit.
- 13. While elimination of MeO⁻ from 2-phenyl-<u>trans</u>-2-methoxy-1-nitrocyclopentane $(k_2^{30^\circ})$ values $\sim 10^{-5}$ sec ⁻¹ in MeOH)⁶ is slower than that from 4-methoxy-2-butanone (estimated $k_2^{30^\circ})$ values = 1.3-0.0003 sec⁻¹ in water ^{14a}) the different solvents and systems make the comparison unreliable.
- 14.(a)L.R. Fedor, <u>J.Amer.Chem.Soc.</u>, <u>91</u>, 908 (1969); (b)T.I. Crowell, R.T. Kemp, R.E.Lutz and A.A. Wall, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>90</u>, 4638 (1968); (c)W.K.Kwok, W.G. Lee and S.I. Miller, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>91</u>, 468 (1969).

15.Z. Rappoport, <u>J.Chem.Soc.(B)</u>, 171 (1791).

- 16.Z. Rappoport, Adv. Phys. Org. chem., 7, 1(1969); G. Modena, Accounts Chem. Res., 4, 73 (1971).
- 17.The substituent effects of X in the pre-equilibrium EloB and in nucleophilic vinylic additions and substitutions are linearly correlated. ref. 11b and H. Shenhav, Z. Rappoport and S. Patai, <u>J.Chem.Soc.(B)</u>, 469 (1970).
- 18.R.G. Pearson and R.L. Dillon, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 75, 2439 (1953).
- 19.(a)F.G. Bordwell, W.J.Boyle, Jr., J.A. Hautala and K.C. Yee, <u>ibid., 91</u>, 4002(1969); (b)F.G. Bordwell, W.J.Boyle, Jr. and K.C. Yee, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>92</u>, 5926 (1970); (c)A.J.Kreege, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>92</u>, 3210 (1970).
- 20.In this case reaction 4 may be used for investigating the "deviart Bronsted relations". The "structural reorganization" suggested in one explanation ^{19a}, ^b occurs here at the formon (an sp² → sp³ change) rather than at the *c*-carbanionic center. The "intermolecular effect" of another explanation ^{19o} is replaced in reaction 4 by interactions of Nu⁻ and the substituents both in the transition state and in the product carbanion. 21.For another explanation see ref. 5.